

defendant may move to dismiss any claim against it as a result of plaintiffs' failure to prosecute and/or failure to comply with court orders, see FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b) (stating that a defendant may move to dismiss an action against it "[i]f the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order"); see also Briscoe v. Klaus, 538 F.3d 252, 258 (3d Cir. 2008), and the court finding that, pursuant to Poulis v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co., 747 F.2d 863, 868 (3d Cir. 1984) (listing factors to be considered by the district court prior to dismissing a complaint for failure to comply with court orders and rules), plaintiffs, *pro se* parties, are personally responsible for the failure to respond to the motion to dismiss and court order, and that the defendant is prejudiced in its ability to defend against this action by plaintiffs' failure to respond, and given plaintiffs' lack of engagement in this litigation since March 2012, it is hereby ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss (Doc. 27) is GRANTED without prejudice.

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge